

Commissioner for Patents

REMARKS

The Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the telephone interview of October 17, 2007.

Double Patenting

Claims 1, 10 and 13 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 4, 10, 11, and 16 of US Patent No. 7,027,651 (Simon et al.).

As per the telephone interview, the Examiner is asked to reconsider his position.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102

Claims 1, 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by the article “Robust Affine Invariant Matching with Application to line Features” by Tsai.

As per the telephone interview, the Examiner is asked to reconsider his position.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

Claims 2-9 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai in view of Denneau et al. (6,348,833).

As per the telephone interview, the Examiner is asked to reconsider his position.

Conclusions

Commissioner for Patents

In view of the foregoing, the Applicant believes the present application to be patentable and early and favorable notice is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

MATROX ELETRONIC SYSTEMS LTD.

By:

/ALEXANDRA DAOUD/

Alexandra Daoud

Agent of Record, Reg. No. 55,992

Customer Number 020988